

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/635,126	CORNETT ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	DHIRU R. PATEL	2831

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) DHIRU R. PATEL

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) John Salazar

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 3 March 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-2, 13-14

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*claims 1 and 13 being revised to better define the invention, cancel the claims 2 and 14 and put the case in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Dhiru R. Patel*  
DHIRU R. PATEL 3/31/05  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)